Agenda

- Welcome & Introductions
- Hans Doe Trust Grants
- Prop 84-Round 3 Scoring Process
- Numeric Scoring Results
- Caucus Break-Out Groups
- Summary and Next Steps

Prop 84-Round 3 Scoring Process

- Purpose of Today’s Workshop:
  - Provide an open opportunity to review and discuss project scoring
  - Solicit stakeholder input on project scores
  - Solicit input from RAC on priority projects
Prop 84-Round 3 Project Selection Process

- Project Selection and Review Process:
  1. Project scoring approved by RAC April 22nd
  2. Projects submitted to online database by April 30th
  3. Projects numerically scored and ranked by third party and reviewed by RWMG
  4. Project scoring discussed and vetted with RAC May 15th
  5. Projects evaluated by Project Selection Workgroup
     - Interviews will be held May 28th
  6. Suite of projects and funding amounts approved by RAC June 4th
  7. Application submitted to DWR in July 2014

Prop 84-Round 3 Project Scoring Process

- Numeric Scoring:
  - Projects scored by third-party and reviewed by RWMG
  - Projects vetted for accuracy and consistency
  - Projects grouped into Tier 1 and Tier 2 based on scores
    - RWMG recommends eliminating tiering in this round

- Projects scored with scoring criteria approved by the RAC on April 22nd
- Projects must meet minimum eligibility requirements
- Partial credit available for some criteria

SEE HANDOUT OF SCORING RUBRIC

Prop 84-Round 3 Project Scoring Process

- Addresses Multiple Objectives
  - 20% of score
  - Points based on number of objectives met
  - Must meet Objective A, Objective B, and at least one other objective to be eligible
  - Partial credit available for most objectives

Prop 84-Round 3 Project Scoring Process

- Multiple Beneficial Uses (BUs)
  - 5% of score
  - Points based on number of BUs
  - Must clearly and directly address BUs to receive credit
  - Can only receive BU credit if BU is listed for water body or water bodies relevant to project

Prop 84-Round 3 Project Scoring Process

- Minimum Eligibility Requirements:
  1. Meet Objective A:
     - Encourage the development of integrated solutions to address water management issues and conflicts
  2. Meet Objective B:
     - Maximize stakeholder/community involvement and stewardship of water resources, emphasizing outreach
  3. Meet at least one other Plan Objective
  4. Fall under one of the eligible project types for expedited drought relief funding:
     - Provide immediate drought preparedness
     - Increase local water supply reliability and water delivery
     - Implement non-cost-effective conservation measures
     - Reduce water quality or ecosystem conflicts created by drought
Prop 84-Round 3 Project Scoring Process

- Creates New Applied Water or Offsets Potable Demand
  - 35% of score
  - Points based on meeting Objective E
  - Full credit for directly meeting Objective E
  - Half credit for indirectly meeting Objective E

- Linked to Other Water Management Projects
  - 5% of score
  - Points based on yes/no response and description
  - Involves More than One Entity
  - 10% of score
  - Points based work plan – partners must be listed in work plan to receive points

- Implements Plan Recommendation
  - 20% of score
  - Points based on source of recommendation
  - IRWM Plan recommendation or issue
    - Table 1-2 and 3-39 in 2013 IRWM Plan
  - Workgroup recommendation
    - Table 11-1 and 11-2 in 2013 IRWM Plan
  - Other Water Management Plans assessed on case-by-case basis

- Benefits DACs/EJs
  - 5% of score
  - Points based on degree of benefits
  - Has direct nexus to benefits provided to DACs = full credit
  - Is large-scale or regional and provides indirect benefits to DACs = half credit
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Prop 84-Round 3 Project Scoring

- Call for Projects April 1st – April 30th
  - 12 projects submitted to database for Round 3
- Total grant request: $30.3 million
- Projects scores released to Project Sponsors, RAC, and stakeholders – May 8th
- Weighted scores out of 4 points
  - Scores range from 2.1-3.9
- RAC recommendation:
  - 6-8 projects with a total grant request of $16.5-20 million

SEE HANDOUT FOR DETAILED PROJECT SCORES

Projects Organized by Score

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Grant Request</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC San Diego Drought Response Project</td>
<td>UCSD</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges Reservoir Oxygenation System (HOS) Project</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>$2,554,900</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlsbad MWD Recycled Water Project – Segment 2</td>
<td>Carlsbad MWD</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 San Diego Regional Drought Response Program</td>
<td>SD County Water Authority</td>
<td>$1,009,000</td>
<td>3.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safari Park Drought Relief and Outreach Project</td>
<td>Zoological Society of SD</td>
<td>$2,983,352</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPUD Plant Nurseries Recycled Waterline Extension</td>
<td>Fallbrook Public Utility District</td>
<td>$732,000</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Richard A. Reynolds Groundwater Desalination Facility Expansion</td>
<td>Sweetwater Authority</td>
<td>$5,000,000</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation on Demand: Advanced Metering Infrastructure Facilitated Conservation</td>
<td>Rincon del Diablo MWD</td>
<td>$600,927</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Escondido’s Agricultural Reuse and Salt Reduction</td>
<td>City of Escondido</td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural DAC-Drought Partnership Project</td>
<td>RCAC</td>
<td>$2,273,884</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects Organized by Primary Water Management Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Grant Request</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC San Diego Drought Response Project</td>
<td>UCSD</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Padre Dam Recycled Water Demand Optimization Project</td>
<td>Padre Dam MWD</td>
<td>$662,500</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlsbad MWD Recycled Water Project – Segment 2</td>
<td>Carlsbad MWD</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FPUD Plant Nurseries Recycled Waterline Extension</td>
<td>Fallbrook Public Utility District</td>
<td>$732,000</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Escondido’s Agricultural Reuse and Salt Reduction</td>
<td>City of Escondido</td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Projects Organized by Location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Sponsor</th>
<th>Grant Request</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UC San Diego Drought Response Project</td>
<td>UCSD</td>
<td>$2,000,000</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hodges Reservoir Oxygenation System (HOS) Project</td>
<td>City of San Diego</td>
<td>$2,554,900</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carlsbad MWD Recycled Water Project – Segment 2</td>
<td>Carlsbad MWD</td>
<td>$6,000,000</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safari Park Drought Relief and Outreach Project</td>
<td>Zoological Society of SD</td>
<td>$2,983,352</td>
<td>3.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conservation on Demand: Advanced Metering Rincon del Diablo MWD</td>
<td>$600,927</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Escondido’s Agricultural Reuse and Salt Reduction</td>
<td>City of Escondido</td>
<td>$9,000,000</td>
<td>3.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural DAC-Drought Partnership Project</td>
<td>RCAC</td>
<td>$2,273,884</td>
<td>2.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Caucus Break-Out Groups

- Convene each RAC Caucus to discuss projects
- Considerations:
  - Project’s ability to address issues relevant to caucus
  - Project’s competitiveness for Round 3 funding
  - Pros and cons related to drought-relief criteria
- Goal: Consensus on caucus priorities to recommend to Project Selection Workgroup

Caucus Break-Out Groups

- Breakout groups by caucus:
  1. Water Supply
  2. Water Quality
  3. Natural Resources and Watersheds
  4. Disadvantaged Communities/Environmental Justice (DAC/EJ)
  5. Other

Breakout Group Locations

[Diagram showing locations for different breakouts]

Caucus Reports on Priority Recommendations
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**Next Steps**

- Convene the Project Selection Workgroup
- Project interviews (May 28th)
- Workgroup recommendation presented to RAC for consideration (June 4th)
- RAC recommendation presented to Water Authority Board for approval (June 26th)
- RWMG and Consultant team develops and submits application to DWR

**2014 Meeting Schedule**

- June 4, 2014 – Final project suite presented to RAC for approval
- August 6, 2014
- October 1, 2014
- December 3, 2014

  - *Regular Meetings Held First Wednesday of Every Other Month* -