Project Selection Workgroup

• **RWMG** – Mark Stadler-Water Authority (Toby Roy-Water Authority), Jeff Pasek-City of San Diego(Cathy Pieroni-City of San Diego), Sheri McPherson-County

• **Water Retailers** – Cari Dale-City of Oceanside (Ron Mosher-Sweetwater Authority)

• **Water Quality** – Mike Thornton-San Elijo Joint Powers Authority (Anne Bamford-Industrial Environmental Association)

• **Watersheds/Natural Resources** – Rob Hutsel-San Diego River Park Foundation, Keith Spencer-U.S. Bureau of Reclamation

• **At-Large Members** – Travis Pritchard (Chair)-San Diego CoastKeeper, Linda Flournoy-Planning for Sustainability (Angela Hengel-Rural Community Assistance Corporation)
Workgroup Commitment

• Purpose: To review submitted projects and recommend a package of projects for the Prop 84-Round 2 Implementation Grant proposal
• 5 meetings during November 2012, for a total of 24 hours of deliberation
  ▪ Time also spent outside meetings reviewing database submittals
• 1 meeting included interviews with 12 project sponsors
• At least 8 voting members present at every meeting, plus alternates
• Voting was based on consensus; any formal votes required a 2/3 majority vote to pass
Project Selection Criteria: Step 1

- Consultant team applied RAC-approved project selection criteria to individual projects to score each project
  - Addresses Multiple IRWM Objectives
  - Integrates Multiple Water Management Strategies
  - Spans Multiple Hydrologic Units
  - Creates New Applied Water or Offsets Potable Demand
  - Linked to Other Projects
  - Involves More than One Entity
  - Identified in Existing Plan
  - Directly Benefits Disadvantaged/Environmental Justice Communities

- Projects grouped into Tier 1 (top 50%) and Tier 2 (bottom 50%) based on applied scoring
Project Selection Criteria: Step 2

• Workgroup reviewed the ranked project list
  ▪ Workgroup discussed how the scoring criteria applied to each project
  ▪ Workgroup could nominate projects to move from Tier 2 to Tier 1 (actively considered for grant)
    • Workgroup members could nominate 1 project each
    • Workgroup members could **not** nominate their own projects for consideration
    • Workgroup discussed and voted on all nominations to move projects into Tier 1
    • 3 projects moved from Tier 2 to Tier 1
Project Selection Criteria: Step 3

- Workgroup evaluated the Tier 1 projects
  - Workgroup discussed each project, its objectives, work plan, partners, budget, schedule, and benefits to DACs
  - Workgroup identified any red flags and questions for each project
  - For any project-related questions, the consultant team was directed to followed up with individual project proponents
Project Selection Criteria: Step 4

- Workgroup identified “short list” of Tier 1 projects
  - Workgroup re-reviewed all Tier 1 projects with additional clarifications provided by consultant team
  - Workgroup reviewed attachments submitted to the online project database
  - Workgroup reduced the list of Tier 1 projects down to a “short list” of 12 projects
Project Selection Criteria: Step 5

• Workgroup held project sponsor interviews
  ▪ 12 project sponsors were invited to interview with the Workgroup
  ▪ Each interview was 25 minutes, and involved a brief presentation followed by a Q&A session
  ▪ Following the interviews, the Workgroup discussed the merits of each project
Project Selection Criteria: Step 6

• Workgroup completed final project evaluation and selection
  ▪ Workgroup discussed the merits of a package of projects and how it would score in DWR’s scoring process
  ▪ Workgroup members each proposed a funding package and provided a rationale for it
  ▪ Workgroup reached consensus on the project package, and then funding amounts
Workgroup Results

- 7 recommended projects
  - Total Project Costs: ~$57 M
  - Grant Funds Awarded: ~$9.991 M
## Recommended Prop 84-Round 2 Package

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project Title</th>
<th>Project Sponsor</th>
<th>Recommended Grant Award</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Failsafe Potable Reuse at the Advanced Water Purification Demonstration Facility</td>
<td>WateReuse Research Foundation</td>
<td>2,113,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rural Disadvantaged Community (DAC) Partnership Project - Phase II</td>
<td>Rural Community Assistance Corporation (RCAC)</td>
<td>1,887,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North San Diego County Regional Recycled Water Project (NSDCRRW) - Phase II</td>
<td>Olivenhain Municipal Water District</td>
<td>3,452,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustaining Healthy Tributaries to the Upper San Diego River and Protecting Local Water Supplies</td>
<td>San Diego River Park Foundation</td>
<td>521,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turf Replacement and Agricultural Irrigation Efficiency Program</td>
<td>San Diego County Water Authority</td>
<td>538,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementing Nutrient Management in the Santa Margarita River Watershed - Phase II</td>
<td>County of San Diego</td>
<td>980,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chollas Creek Integration Project - Phase II</td>
<td>Jacobs Center for Neighborhood Innovation</td>
<td>500,000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Next Steps

- RAC to vote on recommended Prop 84-Round 2 package
- Water Authority Board of Directors to vote on recommended Prop 84-Round 2 package
- Consultant to work with project sponsors and the RWMG to gather additional information and prepare grant application