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Proposition 50 Grant Administration

Contract Status:
- Contract Amendment No. 5 in DWR for Final Execution
  - Establishment of Grant Administration Project
- Contract Amendment No. 6 in DWR Processing:
  - Redistribution of Project 14’s Amendment
  - Reaching Agreement on Labor Compliance Issue
- Contract Amendment No. 7 in DWR Processing
  - Integrated Landscape & Agricultural Efficiency Program (Project 1)
  - Carlsbad Desalination Project (Project 10)
- Upcoming Contract Amendment
  - Amendment to Campus Landscape Renovation, Conservation & Pollutant Load Reduction (Project 3)

Proposition 50 Grant Administration (Continued)

Financials:
- Prop 50 Grant Award: $25,000,000
- To Date Project Costs billed: 9,715,573
- Less Retention To Date: (971,557)
- Net Reimbursement Request To Date: 8,744,016
- Remaining Budget: $15,284,427

Net Reimbursement Request To Date: $8,744,016
Amount Reimbursed To Date: 7,578,112

Data as of: 03/01/2013
Proposition 50 Grant Administration (Continued)

- Status of Reimbursement in Process: $1.1M
  - 3rd Quarter Invoices Submitted on 11/01/12 - In DWR Review ($690,638.34)
    - Project 2 - Irrigation Hardware Giveaway and Cash for Plants
    - Project 8 - El Capitan Reservoir Restoration Project
    - Project 9 - SD County Invasive Non-Native Species Control
    - Project 10 - Santa Margarita Conjunctive Use Project
  - 4th Quarter Invoices Submitted on 02/2013 - In Queue for Processing ($428,319.19)

Proposition 84 Planning Grant

- Financials:
  - Prop 84 Grant Award: $1,000,000
  - To Date Project Costs billed: 306,315
  - Less Retention To Date: (30,631)
  - Net Reimbursement Request To Date: 275,684
  - Remaining Budget: $693,685
  - Net Reimbursement Request To Date: $275,684
  - Amount Reimbursed To Date: 192,965
  - Reimbursements in Process: $82,718

Note: as of 04/01/2013
Proposition 84 Implementation Grant (Round 1)

Contract Status:
- LPS Agreements – In Local Project Sponsors’ processing for signatures.
- March 20, 2013- LPS Kick-off meeting
  - Well-attended, including by the DWR Grant Project Manager
  - LPS were provided overview of grant requirements, roles and responsibilities
- May 15, 2013 – First LPS Invoices and Quarterly Progress Reports Deadline
- June 30, 2013- First Grant Progress Report and Invoice Submittal to DWR

Propositions 84 and 50 Audit Status

Audit began in February 2013
- Five Prop 50 Projects Were Audited:
  - City of San Diego’s - Projects 2 & 6
  - SD Coaskeeper’s Project 15
  - San Diego Zoo’s Project 16
  - County of San Diego's –Project 19
- Exit Audit Conference –April 2, 2013
  - SDCWA has 10 days to respond to findings
- Audit Lessons:
  - Must abide by contract terms
  - If terms are amended, must be properly documented
  - Must have appropriate and sufficient documentation of costs
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2013 San Diego IRWM Plan Update

• Update 2007 IRWM Plan with existing plans, planning studies, and stakeholder input
• Integrate new program objectives
• Meet 2010 and 2012 DWR IRWM Plan Requirements
**IRWM Plan Update Workgroups**

- Collaboration with Regional Board Planning Study
- Land Use Planning Study
- Climate Change Planning Study
- Integrated Flood Planning Study
- Regulatory Workgroup (Complete)
- Land Use Workgroup (Complete)
- Climate Change Workgroup (Complete)
- Integrated Flood Workgroup (Complete)
- Governance & Financing Workgroup (Complete)
- Priorities & Metrics Workgroup (Complete)

**2013 IRWM Plan Update**

**Public Workshops for Plan Update**

- Workshop 1: Region Description & RMS
- Workshop 2: Vision, Objectives, & Targets
- Workshop 3: Governance & Coordination
- Workshop 4: Project Selection & Implementation
- Workshop 5: Draft IRWM Plan Update

Thank you for participating today!!
Project Evaluation and Prioritization Chapter

Project Selection Process Chapter

• Joint meeting held on December 12th
  ▪ Priorities & Metrics Workgroup
  ▪ Proposition 84-Round 2 Project Selection Workgroup

• Purpose of meeting
  ▪ Based on experiences during Prop 84-Round 2, identify revisions to both process and content for IRWM project selection process
  ▪ Provide specific feedback about how IRWM project selection process should be captured in the IRWM Plan Update
**Project Selection Process Chapter**

**Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process**
- Project Database was open for approximately 2 months—all project information submitted to an online project database.
- Strategic Integration Workshop was held 1.5 weeks into formal Call for Projects.

**Information on Process for IRWM Plan Update**
- Keep database open as long as possible considering the grant schedule.
- Hold Strategic Integration Workshop or other meeting(s) prior to and during the formal Call for Projects to encourage integration.

---

**Project Selection Process Chapter**

**Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process**
- RWMG revised the Prop 84-Round 1 scoring criteria, and brought a draft version to the RAC for review and approval.
- Projects were scored via the online project database based on numerical criteria pre-established by the RAC.

**Information on the Process for IRWM Plan Update**
- Continue RWMG and RAC vetting of the project-level scoring criteria.
- Continue automatic scoring via online project database.
### Project Selection Process Chapter

#### Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process
- Third party (consultant) completed “ground-truthing” exercise to consistently apply database scoring across projects.
- Projects were sorted into Tier 1 (top 50%) and Tier 2 (bottom 50%). Sorted Tier 1/Tier 2 project list was presented to the Project Selection Workgroup.

#### Information on the Process for IRWM Plan Update
- Continue third-party review and truthing process.
- Continue to sort projects into Tier 1 and Tier 2 lists.
- **NEW**: Publish the Tier 1/Tier 2 scored project list and allow IRWM stakeholders to comment on scoring.
- **NEW**: Present Tier 1/Tier 2 list to the RAC and allow members to vote to elevate projects from Tier 2 to Tier 1.

---

### Project Selection Process Chapter

#### Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process
- Convened a Project Selection Workgroup consisting of RAC members (or designees) from each caucus.
- Project Selection Workgroup received a list of scored projects, grouped into Tier 1 and Tier 2.

#### Information on the Process for IRWM Plan Update
- Continue to convene Project Selection Workgroup with balanced caucus representation.
- **NEW**: Project Selection Workgroup receives a list of Tier 1/Tier 2 scored projects that has been vetted by stakeholders and the RAC.
Project Selection Process Chapter

Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process

- Project Selection Workgroup had the opportunity to nominate projects from Tier 2 to Tier 1. To be elevated from Tier 2 to Tier 1, a 2/3 majority vote was required.
- Questions on projects posed by the Workgroup were funneled through a third party (no direct project sponsor contact).

Information on the Process for IRWM Plan Update

- Continue Project Selection Workgroup Tier 2 to Tier 1 elevation process.
- Continue third party process whereby project sponsors are not directly contacted by Workgroup members.

Project Selection Process Chapter

Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process

- Workgroup discussed and evaluated projects based on the project-level and proposal-level criteria, using the criteria to eliminate projects from consideration.

Information on the Process for IRWM Plan Update

- Continue evaluation process, but emphasize the use of private votes to conduct the post-scoring evaluation.
Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process

• Project Selection Workgroup interviewed "short-list" of projects. All proponents were asked the same questions and given the same amount of time to present.
• Workgroup members could also participate as interviewees if their projects were included for consideration in the grant proposal.

Information on the Process for IRWM Plan Update

• Continue interview process in future rounds of funding. Timing, number of interviews, specific interview format, etc. will vary based upon the number of projects being considered.
• Do not allow Workgroup members to present as project sponsors – need to sit on only 1 side of the table.

Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process

• Workgroup evaluated project budgets for top scoring projects.
• Through iterative rounds of voting, Workgroup reduced the amount of grant funding allocated to each project in order to fund all top-scoring projects.

Information on the Process for IRWM Plan Update

• NEW: Project Selection Workgroup will, during their first meeting, discuss an appropriate process through which to split available funds among projects.
**Project Selection Process Chapter**

**Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Process**
- Workgroup worked until they reached consensus on a single grant package within the grant funding allocation.
- RAC convened to consider and vote on recommended grant package.

**Information on the Process for IRWM Plan Update**
- Continue consensus-based process to determine a final grant package.
- Continue consideration and finalization of grant package via a vote of the RAC.

**Project Selection Process Chapter**

**Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Content**
- Strategic Integration Workshop emphasized the four types of integration defined by the IRWM Program.

**Revisions to Content in the Plan Update**
- Emphasis of integration should be meaningful integration. Some integration technically fit the required definition, but was ad-hoc and lacked overall value.
**Project Selection Process Chapter**

**Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Content**
- Project Database:
  - All potential project proponents were required to include information in the database, including specific work plan and budget information.

**Revisions to Content in the Plan Update**
- Project Database edits:
  - Only include information necessary to score the projects into the Tier 1 and Tier 2 lists.
  - All project submittals will include a formal project abstract that includes a complete project summary in a consistent format.

---

**Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Content**
- Project scoring and weighting criteria have established criteria, scoring procedures, points, and weighted percentages.

**Revisions to Content in the Plan Update**
- Modify project scoring and weighting criteria such that the content is different, and the weighted percentages are not pre-determined.

*SEE HANDOUT*
Project Selection Process Chapter

Prop 84-Round 2 Project Selection Content
• Project Selection Workgroup was provided with project-level and proposal-level criteria, which were applied via open discussions and were not formally scored.

Revisions to Content in the Plan Update
• NEW: Establish a numeric ranking structure so that these criteria can be applied by the Workgroup and scored.
• NEW: Have Workgroup complete the initial scoring by caucus and then review as a group.

Project Selection Process Chapter

• Clarifications for the IRWM Plan Update:
  ▪ Provide an overview of the general process and content undertaken for the San Diego IRWM project selection process.
  ▪ Within the overview, explain that the process and content contained within the IRWM Plan Update are guidelines, and are open for future revision by the RAC and RWMG, depending on grant funding opportunities.
RAC Discussion of Modifications to the Project Selection Process

+ Public Comments

Implementation Chapter
Implementation Chapter

• Purpose of chapter is to direct implementation of the IRWM Plan Update, including:
  ▪ Actions that can be taken to implement short-term and long-term priorities
  ▪ Potential impacts and benefits associated with the priorities
  ▪ Performance measures and monitoring
  ▪ Financing information to demonstrate how the priorities will be implemented

Implementation Chapter

• Large component of the IRWM Plan Update is the planning studies that were conducted to fill data gaps and address regional needs.
• Each planning study contains a set of recommendations for how to address needs established in each study.
  ▪ Sub-set of those recommendations can be included as our short-term priorities.

SEE HANDOUT
RAC Discussion of Short-Term and Long-Term Priorities

+ Public Comments
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Next RAC Meeting

- June 5, 2013 – Joint Public Workshop and RAC meeting on the Plan Update

2013 Meeting Schedule

- August 7, 2013
- October 2, 2013
- December 4, 2013

- First Wednesday of every other month -
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