Meeting Notes

Purpose, Structure, and Ground Rules

Workgroup reviewed the Ground Rules revised at Meeting #1.

- Unanimous approval.

Project Selection Criteria

Workgroup discussed the Project Selection Criteria revised at Meeting #1. Suggestion to strike the column on PSP Criteria on final version. Suggestion to use Readiness-to-Proceed as a pass-fail criterion in project selection. Amount of funding match shows the project sponsor’s commitment to the project, particularly because funding match must be spent first.

- Unanimous approval.
Reporting on External, Project-Related Discussions

Workgroup members explained any external, project-related discussions:

- **Craig**—Project 149, Volcan Mountain-Grand Property Acquisition for Watershed Management & Habitat Restoration. At a meeting to develop watershed comments, the project was discussed.

- **Rob H.**—Project 28, El Monte Valley Mining, Reclamation, and Groundwater Recharge Project-Phase 2 and Project 181, Integrated Flood Control and Water Quality Protection Program. At a meeting to develop watershed comments, the project was discussed.

- **Linda**—Project 218, Sustainable Landscapes Conversions Initiative and Project 179, Sustainable Landscapes-City of Poway-Metate Triangle Irrigation System and Landscape Redesign. As a project partner, she was in communication with the other sustainable landscapes projects. City of Poway contacted her about being a consultant on the project.

- **Sheri**—Project 208, Regional Water Data Management Program. As the project lead, she disclosed that the County has removed specific consultant from the work plan and will use competitive bid process for selection. Also, she provided guidance to WURMP Workgroups on submitting watershed comments, but did not participate.

- **Gabe**—Project 26, San Diego Regional Water Quality Assessment and Outreach Project, 2010 and Project 37, San Diego County Beaches Wet Weather Contamination Assessment. In submittal of watershed comments CoastKeeper did not comment on CoastKeeper projects.

Review of Watershed Group Comments

Workgroup asked for clarification from commenter’s about who was involved and how comments were developed. It appears that some comments were made by individuals without coordination with watershed councils.

Workgroup asked if watershed comments will be made public. Yes, the watershed comments will be uploaded to the website, along with all Workgroup meeting materials.

Review of Tier 1 Project List per Scorecard

Workgroup discussed the Tier 2 project list and proposed moving several forward for consideration in Prop 84-Round 1.

- One project moved up to Tier 1 – Project 207, Loma Alta Creek Retention Basins at Rancho Del Oro.

Workgroup began discussing the Tier 1 project list. A Scorecard was used to evaluate each individual project against the project-level criteria.

Workgroup discussed how to evaluate direct vs. indirect contribution of each project to the IRWM Plan objectives. Agreement to use 0-0.5-1 scale for Scorecard.

During discussions, Workgroup may identify integration opportunities and project improvements/modifications. Those suggestions will be communicated to project proponents after the Workgroup selection is complete.
Question about if Prop 84-Round 1 grant funds can be used for mitigation activities associated with other construction projects?

Workgroup discussed the submittal form in the online project database. Based on feedback from project sponsors during the Prop 50 cycle, the form was simplified and streamlined. However, Workgroup acknowledged that it is difficult to truly understand the scope of projects using the new streamlined form. In the next round, more detailed outline of work plan tasks and budgets will be requested.

Workgroup members were reminded to bring their IRWM Plans to all Workgroup meetings for reference!

**Action items**

- ✓ RMC to request information from all watershed commenter’s on their comment process.
- ✓ RMC to email Project 207 project sponsor to notify that project has been moved to Tier 1 for consideration in Prop 84-Round 1.
- ✓ RMC to ask DWR to clarify use of grant funding for mitigation projects.
- ✓ RMC to develop project abstracts for recommended project package.
- ✓ RMC to email Workgroup the Tier 1 project list with page numbers associated with the Workgroup binders.
- ✓ RMC to email Outlook appointments for the added Workgroup meetings – Friday October 24th and Wednesday October 29th.