

**Adapted from Table 9-1 of the 2013 San Diego IRWM Plan  
Recommended Scoring Criteria for 2016 DAC Planning Grant**

Criterion	Scoring Procedure	Points Assigned	Percent of Total Score <sup>2</sup>
<b>Pass/Fail Criteria</b>			
<u>Project must benefit Disadvantaged or Environmental Justice Community / Economically Distressed Areas/ Underrepresented Community</u>			
Project must meet Objective A, Objective B, and at least one other objective articulated in the 2013 San Diego IRWM Plan			
<b>Scoring Criteria</b>			
Addresses Multiple Objectives <sup>1</sup>	Score is based on # of objectives addressed <sup>3</sup>	6+ objectives = 4 pts 5 objectives = 3 pts 4 objectives = 2 pts 3 objectives = 1 pt	TBD
Involves More than One Entity	Score is based on Yes/No response	Yes = 4 pt No = 0 pts	TBD
Implements IRWM Plan Recommendation or Addresses an IRWM Issue <sup>5</sup> , IRWM Workgroup Recommendation, or a Recommendation in an Adopted Water Management Plan	Score is based on the kind of planning document that suggests implementing benefits or components of the project	IRWM Plan <b>DAC Issue (see Page 3-11)</b> = 4 pts Workgroup Recommendation = 2 pts Other Adopted Water Management Plan Recommendation = 1 pt	TBD
<u>Directly Degree that project benefits Disadvantaged / Environmental Justice / Economically Distressed Areas / Underrepresented Communities</u>	Score is based on the degree of benefit (direct vs. indirect)	Direct Benefits = 4 pts Indirect Benefit = 2 pts	TBD
<u>Total Possible Score</u>		<u>16 Points</u>	<u>No Weighting</u>
<del>Spans Multiple Watersheds</del>	<del>Score is based on the level of integration between watersheds</del>	<del>Multiple Watersheds = 4 pts Integration within a single Watershed = 2 pts Only site-specific = 0 pts</del>	<del>TBD</del>
<del>Addresses Multiple Beneficial Uses (BUs)</del>	<del>Score is based on # of beneficial uses addressed</del>	<del>4+ BUs = 4 pts 3 BUs = 3 pts 2 BUs = 2 pts 1 BU = 1 pt</del>	<del>TBD</del>
<del>Addresses Multiple Watershed Services within the Hydrologic Cycle</del>	<del>Score is based on the number of watershed services<sup>4</sup> within the hydrologic cycle</del>	<del>Includes 2+ watershed services = 2 pts Includes 1-2 watershed services = 1 pts Includes no watershed functions = 0 pts</del>	<del>TBD</del>
<del>Creates New Applied Water or Offsets Potable Demand<sup>2</sup></del>	<del>Score is based on Yes/No response</del>	<del>Yes = 4 pt No = 0 pts</del>	<del>TBD</del>
<del>Linked to Other Water Management Projects</del>	<del>Score is based on Yes/No response</del>	<del>Yes = 4 pt No = 0 pts</del>	<del>TBD</del>
<del>Other<sup>6</sup></del>	<del>TBD</del>	<del>TBD</del>	<del>TBD</del>

1. ½ points may be applied if the project indirectly meets this criterion (see Table 9-3 example for 2007 Objectives).
2. Prior to each round of funding, percentages will be applied as appropriate to determine applicable weighting of each criterion in accordance with direction provided by the RAC and the RWMG. Please note that percentages may be set at 0 for any given criteria, indicating that any of these criteria may be removed from consideration for a specific funding opportunity. Conversely, the "Other" category provided in this table indicates that any number of new criteria may be added by the RAC and the RWMG to reflect new or modified funding priorities.
3. Note that to be considered for IRWM funding, Objectives A and B and one other must be addressed. RAC may be asked to prioritize the IRWM Plan Objectives prior to each grant cycle.
4. Watershed services are defined in Section 9.2.5
5. IRWM Issues are identified in Table 1-2 of the IRWM Plan Update
6. "Other" scoring shall consider contribution of project to reducing greenhouse gas emissions, how the project will reduce dependence on Delta Supply, and how the project is related to resource management strategies (see Chapter 8).

**Adapted from Table 9-2: of the 2013 San Diego IRWM Plan  
Recommended Framework for Scoring Guidelines for 2016 DAC Planning Grant**

Criteria	Suggested Workgroup Guidelines
<b>PROJECT-LEVEL CRITERIA</b>	
IRWM Plan Objectives	Select projects that contribute to the attainment of IRWM Plan objectives.
Legal, Scientific, and Technical Feasibility	Select projects that are well supported from a technical standpoint based on supporting studies and data.
Budget	Select projects that have well-developed budgets and exhibit reasonable costs. Note that DAC projects are exempt from the 25% funding match requirement.
Integration	Review integration potential using pre-defined types of integration – Partnerships, Management strategies, Beneficial uses, Geographic, Hydrologic
<u>Specific Benefits</u>	<u>Select projects that include project development activities that will lead to competitive, fundable projects that will leverage additional implementation funding</u>
<del>Readiness to Proceed</del>	<del>Select projects that will be ready to proceed by December 2014.</del>
<del>Cost Effectiveness—Water Supply, Water Quality, Flood Damage Reduction</del>	<del>Select projects that are cost-effective on both the short and long term, and provide quantifiable benefits to the region.</del>
<del>Benefits Tribes</del>	<del>Select projects that address the water resources needs of San Diego area tribes.</del>
<del>Climate Change</del>	<del>Contributes to climate change adaptation or mitigation</del>
<b>PROPOSAL-LEVEL CRITERIA</b>	
<b>NOTE: Not Relevant – DAC Planning Grant will Include One Proposal for Funding Area</b>	
<del>IRWM Plan Objectives</del>	<del>Proposal to include a suite of projects that addresses all IRWM Plan objectives.</del>
<del>Linkages to Other Projects</del>	<del>Proposal to include projects with synergies and linkages among them.</del>
<del>Funding Match</del>	<del>Proposal to achieve an overall 30% funding match.</del>
<del>Schedule</del>	<del>Proposal must include at least one project that will begin implementation by May 2014.</del>
<del>Economic Analysis—Water Supply, Water Quality and Other Expected Benefits, and Flood Damage Reduction</del>	<del>Proposal to include projects that realize quantifiable water supply benefits.</del>
	<del>Proposal to include projects that realize quantifiable water quality and other expected benefits.</del>
	<del>Proposal to include projects that realize quantifiable flood damage reduction benefits.</del>
<del>Geographic Parity</del>	<del>Proposal to include a suite of projects that will benefit watersheds across the Region.</del>
<del>Benefits Disadvantaged Communities</del>	<del>Proposal to include at least one project that addresses the critical water supply or water supply quality needs of disadvantaged communities.</del>
<del>Implementing Agency</del>	<del>Proposal to include a balance of projects sponsored by non-governmental organizations and agencies.</del>
<del>Cost Effectiveness</del>	<del>Compare cost effectiveness of projects within each functional area (\$/level of benefit)</del>
<del>IRWM Integration</del>	<del>Compare integrated aspects of each project in accordance with the definition of integration established by the San Diego IRWM Program</del>
<del>Cutting-Edge Technology</del>	<del>Proposal to highly consider projects that implement cutting-edge or next-generation technologies that can effectively address water management issues</del>