

## Draft Evaluation Process for Discussion

Evaluation Objective	Performance Measure Performance Measure, Measurement (Unit)
Provide Reliability & Robustness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Cumulative water shortages over planning horizon (averaged under various hydrologic conditions), <i>Total water shortages ( acre-feet, AF)</i></li> <li>▪ Resilience to climate change, <i>Hydrologic Variability Score (Score of 1 to 5, 1 - high variability, 5 - low variability)</i></li> <li>▪ Emergency Supplies: <i>Score (Score of 1 to 5, 1 - low supplies, 5 - high supplies)</i></li> <li>▪ Water use efficiency: cumulative level of water conservation and reclamation over the planning horizon, <i>Water conserved (Acre-feet per year, AFY)</i></li> </ul>
Manage Cost and Provide Affordability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Total present value costs to the region and customers/developers, both capital and O&amp;M, over planning period, <i>Capital cost (Dollars per Acre-Foot, \$/AF); O&amp;M cost (Dollars per Acre-Foot, \$/AF)</i></li> <li>▪ Potential for external funding, <i>External Funding Score (Score of 1 to 5, 1 - low funding opportunities, 5 - high funding opportunities)</i></li> </ul>
Provide for Scalability of Implementation	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Flexibility for project phasing and expansions, <i>Scalability Score (Score of 1 to 5, 1 - low scalability, 5 - high scalability)</i></li> </ul>
Optimize Local Control/Independence	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Total local resources<sup>(1)</sup>, <i>Acre-feet per year (AFY)</i></li> </ul>
Protect Quality of Life	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Potential for recreation/open space benefits, <i>Recreation/Open Space Score (Score of 1 to 5, 1 - low recreation/open space benefits, 5 – high recreation/open space benefits)</i></li> <li>▪ Environmental Justice, <i>Environmental Justice Score, Score of 1 to 5 (1 - low environmental justice benefits, 5 – high environmental justice benefits)</i></li> <li>▪ Access to clean drinking water, <i>Drinking Water Access Score, Score of 1 to 5 (1 - low access, 5 – high access)</i></li> </ul>
Regional Economic Impact	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Regional economic impact and potential for local job creation, <i>Regional Economic Impact Score (Score of 1 to 5, 1 – low Impact, 5 - high Impact)</i></li> </ul>
Protect Habitats, Wildlife, & Ecosystem Services	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Impact of supply development and use on ecosystems and ecosystem services, <i>Habitat/Ecosystem Service Impact Score Score of 1 to 5, 1 - high negative impact, 5 - high positive impact</i></li> <li>▪ Cumulative reduction in stormwater and wastewater discharges to rivers and ocean (averaged under various hydrologic conditions), <i>Million gallons per day (mgd)</i></li> <li>▪ Concentration of total dissolved solids (salts) in water supply and groundwater basins, <i>Milligrams per liter (mg/l) of total dissolved solids (TDS)</i></li> <li>▪ Potential water quality impacts to local groundwater basins and/or surface water, <i>Water Quality Score (Score of 1 to 5, 1 - high negative impact, 5 - high positive impact)</i></li> </ul>
Reduce Carbon Footprint	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Cumulative greenhouse gas emissions from water sources (averaged under various hydrologic conditions), <i>Metric Tons of carbon dioxide (MT CO<sub>2</sub>)</i></li> <li>▪ Cumulative greenhouse gas emissions sequestered, <i>Metric Tons of carbon dioxide (MT CO<sub>2</sub>)</i></li> </ul>

---

(1) Local supplies include any non-imported supply, such as conservation, groundwater, recycled water, stormwater, and ocean desalination. Local supplies that exceed the minimum take from MWD are excluded.