

**RAC-Approved Project Scoring Criteria for
Final IRWM Implementation Grant Solicitation (2015)
Adapted from Table 9-1 of the 2013 San Diego IRWM Plan**

Criterion	Scoring Procedure	Points Assigned	Percent of Total Score ²
Pass/Fail Criteria			
Project must meet Objective A, Objective B, and at least one other objective articulated in the 2013 San Diego IRWM Plan			
To be eligible for the Final Round of IRWM Funding per State solicitation, project must have two quantifiable physical benefits. Examples of physical benefits include, but are not limited to:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amount of water supply produced, saved, or recycled • Types (constituents) and amounts of water quality improvement provided, and the amount of water treated or improved • Types and amounts of environmental benefits provided, such as the types of species and their numbers benefited, acreage of habitat or floodplain improved, restored or protected, amount of flow provided, or habitat units restored or protected • Amount of energy produced or saved, and amount of greenhouse gases that can be avoided 			
Scoring Criteria			
Addresses Multiple Objectives ¹	Score is based on # of objectives addressed ³	6+ objectives = 4 pts 5 objectives = 3 pts 4 objectives = 2 pts 3 objectives = 1 pt	25%
Spans Multiple Watersheds	Score is based on the level of integration between watersheds	Multiple Watersheds = 4 pts Integration within a single Watershed = 2 pts Only site-specific = 0 pts	5%
Addresses Multiple Beneficial Uses (BUs)	Score is based on # of beneficial uses addressed	4+ BUs = 4 pts 3 BUs = 3 pts 2 BUs = 2 pts 1 BUs = 1 pt	5%
Addresses Multiple Watershed Services within the Hydrologic Cycle	Score is based on the number of watershed services ⁴ within the hydrologic cycle	Includes 2+ watershed services = 2 pts Includes 1-2 watershed services = 1 pts Includes no watershed functions = 0 pts	5%
Creates New Applied Water or Offsets Potable Demand ²	Score is based on Yes/No response	Yes = 4 pt No = 0 pts	25%
Linked to Other Water Management Projects	Score is based on Yes/No response	Yes = 4 pt No = 0 pts	5%
Involves More than One Entity	Score is based on Yes/No response	Yes = 4 pt No = 0 pts	5%
Implements IRWM Plan Recommendation or Addresses an IRWM Issue ⁵ , IRWM Workgroup Recommendation, or a Recommendation in an Adopted Water Management Plan	Score is based on the kind of planning document that suggests implementing benefits or components of the project	IRWM Plan Recommendation or Issue = 4 pts Workgroup Recommendation = 2 pts Other Adopted Water Management Plan Recommendation = 1 pt	15%
Directly Benefits Disadvantaged / Environmental Justice Communities	Score is based on the degree of benefit (direct vs. indirect)	Direct Benefits = 4 pts Indirect Benefit = 2 pts No Benefits = 0 pts	10%

1. ½ points may be applied if the project indirectly meets this criterion (see Table 9-3 example for 2007 Objectives).

2. Prior to each round of funding, percentages will be applied as appropriate to determine applicable weighting of each criterion in accordance with direction provided by the RAC and the RWMG. Please note that percentages may be set at 0 for any given criteria, indicating that any of these criteria may be removed from consideration for a specific funding opportunity. Conversely, the “Other” category provided in this table indicates that any number of new criteria may be added by the RAC and the RWMG to reflect new or modified funding priorities.

3. Note that to be considered for IRWM funding, Objectives A and B and one other must be addressed. RAC may be asked to prioritize the IRWM Plan Objectives prior to each grant cycle.

4. Watershed services are defined in Section 9.2.5

5. IRWM Issues are identified in Table 1-2 of the IRWM Plan Update