



Prop 84 Project Selection Workgroup Suggested Criteria for Workgroup Consideration

Revised Draft September 16, 2010

The following table presents suggested criteria to be considered by the Workgroup in developing the funding application package. Criteria have been categorized as project-level criteria or proposal-level criteria. Project-level criteria will be used to evaluate individual projects while proposal-level criteria will be used to evaluate the proposal as a whole. It is anticipated that the ability of projects to address project-level criteria will be discussed during the second Workgroup meeting. The ability of the proposed funding application package to address the proposal-level criteria is scheduled for discussion during the third and fourth Workgroup meeting.

Criteria	Suggested Workgroup Guidelines	PSP Criteria (Points)
PROJECT-LEVEL CRITERIA		
Contribution to IRWM Plan Goals and Objectives	Select projects contribute to the attainment of IRWM Plan goals and objectives.	Work Plan (15)
Scientific and Technical Merit	Select projects that are well supported from a technical standpoint based on supporting studies and data.	Work Plan (15)
Budget	Select projects that have well-developed budgets and exhibit reasonable costs. <u>Note that DAC projects are exempt from the 25% funding match requirement.</u>	Budget (5)
Readiness to Proceed	Select projects that will be ready to proceed by December 2011.	Schedule (5)
Contribution to Measurable Targets	Select projects that contribute to IRWM Plan targets.	Performance Measures (5)
Cost-Effectiveness <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Water Supply • Water Quality • Flood Damage Reduction 	Select projects that are cost-effective on both the short- and long-term, and provide quantifiable benefits to the region.	Economic Analysis (up to 45)
Program Preferences ^a	Select projects that implement Program Preferences and Statewide Priorities	Program Preferences (10)
<u>Benefits DACs</u>	<u>Select project addresses the critical water supply and water quality needs of DACs.</u>	
<u>Benefits Tribes</u>	<u>Select project addresses the water resources needs of San Diego area tribes.</u>	
PROPOSAL-LEVEL CRITERIA		
Linkages to Other Projects	Proposal to include projects with synergies and linkages among them.	Work Plan (15)

Criteria	Suggested Workgroup Guidelines	PSP Criteria (Points)
Funding Match	Proposal to achieve an overall 25-30% funding match.	Budget (5)
Schedule	Proposal must include at least one project that will begin implementation by December 2011.	Schedule (5)
Economic Analysis – Water Supply	Proposal to include projects that realize quantifiable water supply benefits.	Economic Analysis (15)
Economic Analysis – Water Quality and Other Expected Benefits	Proposal to include projects that realize quantifiable water quality and other expected benefits.	Economic Analysis (15)
Economic Analysis – Flood Damage Reduction	Proposal to include projects that realize quantifiable flood damage reduction benefits.	Economic Analysis (15)
Program Preferences ^a	Proposal to include a suite of projects that implements a combination of Program Preferences with a high degree of certainty.	Program Preferences (10)
Geographic Parity	Proposal to include a suite of projects that will benefit hydrologic units across the Region.	
Regional Objectives	Proposal to include a suite of projects that addresses all IRWM Plan objectives.	
Degree of Negative Impact	Proposal to include a suite of projects that have minimal secondary or cumulative negative impacts, including those that occur over a longer time or distance.	
Amount Leveraged	Proposal to include a suite of projects that allow other projects to move forward.	

a. Program Preferences include:

- a) Include regional projects or programs
- b) Effectively integrate water management programs and projects within a region
- c) Effectively resolve significant water-related conflicts within or between regions
- d) Contribute to attainment of one or more objectives of the CALFED Bay-Delta Program
- e) Address critical water supply or water quality needs of disadvantaged communities in the region
- f) Effectively integrate water management with land use planning
- g) Projects which are not receiving State funding for flood control or flood prevention or provide multiple benefits
- h) Address Statewide Priorities, which include:
 - Drought preparedness
 - Use and reuse water more efficiently
 - Climate change response actions
 - Expand environmental stewardship
 - Practice integrated flood management
 - Protect surface water and groundwater quality
 - Improve tribal water and natural resources
 - Ensure equitable distribution of benefits