

**San Diego IRWM Program**  
 Prop 1 DAC Planning Grant - Project Scores  
 Revised May 31, 2016\*

Proj. #	Project Sponsor	Project Title	Total Grant Request	Pass/Fail Criteria			Meets Multiple Objectives?														Involves More than One Entity?		Implements Plan Recommendation?			Degree Project Benefits DAC/EJ/EDA/URC			TOTAL SCORE (17 pts max)	May Include Implementation Components**
				Benefit DAC/EJ/EDA/URC?	Meets Obj. A, obj. B, and at least 1 other obj.	Passes?	Obj. A Integrated	Obj. B Stakeholder Involvement	Obj. C Data and Information	Obj. D Scientific/Technical Foundation	Obj. E Diverse Mix of Supplies	Obj. F Reliable Infrastructure	Obj. G Enhance Hydrologic Processes	Obj. H Reduce Pollutants	Obj. I Habitat and Open Space	Obj. J Recreation	Obj. K Climate Change	Total Obj	SCORE	Yes/No	SCORE	IRWM Plan - DAC Issue	IRWM Plan Workgroup Rec	Other Water Mgmt Plan	SCORE	Direct Benefits	Indirect Benefits	SCORE		
<b>Tier 1 Projects</b>																														
4	WILDCOAST	Youth Integrated Watershed Cleanup, Restoration, and Education Project	\$ 300,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0.5	0	0	0	0.5	1	1	1	1	7	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	
5	City of Oceanside	Improving Water Quality by Reducing Pollution from Homeless Encampments in the San Luis Rey Riverbed Study	\$ 100,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	9	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	
6	The Ocean Foundation	Ocean Connectors: Habitat Restoration and Education Program	\$ 50,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0	0	0	0	0.5	1	1	1	1	6.5	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	Y
8	City of Escondido	Spruce Street Channel Improvement Project	\$ 350,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	8	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	Y
10	City of El Cajon	Broadway Channel Community Enhancements	\$ 500,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0.5	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	8.5	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	Y
11	City of Chula Vista	Telegraph Canyon Channel Project	\$ 600,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0.5	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	8.5	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	Y
12	City of National City	Paradise Valley Creek Water Quality and Community Enhancement	\$ 400,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0.5	0	0	1	1	1	1	1	1	8.5	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	Y
14	RCAC	Water Project Development Activities for Disadvantaged and Underrepresented Rural Communities in San Diego County	\$ 1,771,058	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0.5	0.5	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	7	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	Y
15	UC San Diego - San Diego Center for Sustainability Science, Planning, and Design	Planning for Safe, Effective Use of Alternative Non-Potable Water Supplies in Disadvantaged Communities: A Participatory Model for Project Development, Public Health and Community Enhancement in Urban Agriculture, Greenspace/Green Infrastructure, and Affordable Housing	\$ 720,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	0	1	10	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	
16	San Luis Rey Watershed Council	San Luis Rey Watershed Tribal and Disadvantaged Communities Assistance for Water Use Efficiency and Flood Control	\$ 637,140	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0	0	1	1	1	1	0.5	0	1	7.5	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	
19	California Department of Parks and Recreation	Nelson Sloan Quarry Restoration Planning and Environmental Review	\$ 1,476,318	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	0.5	1	6.5	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	
21	San Diego River Park Foundation	Healthy Water and Healthy Community for Forester Creek	\$ 320,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	6	4	Y	3	Y			6	Y		4	17	

Proj. #	Project Sponsor	Project Title	Total Grant Request	Pass/Fail Criteria			Meets Multiple Objectives?													Involves More than One Entity?		Implements Plan Recommendation?				Degree Project Benefits DAC/EJ/EDA/URC			TOTAL SCORE (17 pts max)	May Include Implementation Components**
				Benefit DAC/EJ/EDA/URC?	Meets Obj. A, obj. B, and at least 1 other obj.	Passes?	Obj. A Integrated	Obj. B Stakeholder Involvement	Obj. C Data and Information	Obj. D Scientific/Technical Foundation	Obj. E Diverse Mix of Supplies	Obj. F Reliable Infrastructure	Obj. G Enhance Hydrologic Processes	Obj. H Reduce Pollutants	Obj. I Habitat and Open Space	Obj. J Recreation	Obj. K Climate Change	Total Obj	SCORE	Yes/No	SCORE	IRWM Plan - DAC Issue	IRWM Plan Workgroup Rec	Other Water Mgmt Plan	SCORE	Direct Benefits	Indirect Benefits	SCORE		
<b>Tier 2 Projects</b>																														
1	Lakeside River Park	Los Coches Creek Constructed Wetland Rehabilitation	\$ 573,980	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0	0	0.5	1	1	1	1	0	1	7.5	4	Y	3	Y				6	Y	2	15	Y
18	Escondido Creek Conservancy	Escondido Creek Watershed Opportunities Analysis for Integrated Water Quality Enhancement, Habitat Restoration, and Implementation of Permit Alternative Compliance	\$ 973,166	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	0.5	1	10	4	Y	3	Y				6	Y	2	15	
2	City of Oceanside	Coast Highway Corridor Study: Flooding, Surface Water Quality, and Runoff Preliminary Design Project	\$ 500,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	0.5	1	10	4	N	0	Y				6	Y	4	14		
3	Groundwork San Diego - Chollas Creek	The Chollas Creek Watershed Water Quality and Habitat Improvement Project	\$ 699,500	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	1	0.5	1	1	1	1	1	10.5	4	N	0	Y				6	Y	4	14		
7	San Diego Housing Commission	Water Conservation and Flood Prevention Assessment for Affordable Housing Properties in San Diego	\$ 665,599	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	6	4	N	0	Y				6	Y	4	14	
13	Rincon del Diablo MWD	Potable Water Offset, Reduction, and Efficiency Program	\$ 131,584	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	0	1	1	0.5	1	0	0	1	7.5	4	N	0	Y				6	Y	4	14	
17	Yuima MWD	Groundwater Sustainability Agency (GSA) Formation and Groundwater Sustainability Plan (GSP) Development for the Pauma Valley Groundwater Basin	\$ 1,750,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	0	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	Y	3	Y				6	Y	2	14	
20	Lazy H Mutual Water Company	Consolidation of a Struggling Small Rural Mutual Water Company into a Municipal Water District which will Provide a Safe and Reliable Source of Drinking Water to the Community	\$ 265,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	5	3	Y	3	Y				6	Y	2	14	Y
22	U.S. Green Building Council - San Diego Chapter	Closing the Water Loop - Awareness, Empowerment, and Ongoing Stewardship	\$ 177,000	Y	Y	Pass	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0.5	0	0	1	5.5	3	N	0	Y				6	Y	4	13	
9	Pauma Band of Mission Indians	Pauma Tract 2 Water Supply Upgrade	\$ 350,000	Y	Unclear how this meets Obj. B	??	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	4	2	N	0	Y				6	Y	4	12	Y

\* Revised to reflect error in scoring for Project 5, based on revised DAC Project Concept Form received on due date (May 18, 2016).

\*\* Project information should be reviewed carefully to determine which, if any, portions of the project are implementation, and which, if any, portions of the grant request are for implementation activities.